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#### Abstract

In this paper we propose an algorithm for distributed computation of Voronoi cell in a multi-robotic system. Each of the robots is assumed to know its own position and position of all other robots. The robots compute their Voronoi cells based only on this positional information, without any additional communication and cooperation with other robots.


## I. Introduction

Voronoi partitioning has been used as a partitioning technique for multi-robot area coverage and sensor coverage in several multi-robotic systems (MRS) [1] and sensor networks [2], [3]. The Voronoi partition of a space is calculated using the positions of robots within it and each robot operates within, and, consequently, needs to be aware of only its Voronoi cell and not the entire Voronoi partition. However, in most of the applications involving Voronoi partitioning techniques mentioned above, each robot computes the entire Voronoi partition, extracts its Voronoi cell and discards the information about the remaining cells. The discarded information corresponds to considerable amounts of useless computation done by each robot, and incurs unnecessary expenditure of energy and time. In this paper, we attempt to address this deficit by developing a distributed Voronoi partitioning technique where each robot computes only its own Voronoi cell. Unlike most existing techniques for distributed Voronoi partitioning, we follow a more structured approach based on relative robot positions in polar coordinate system.

## II. Distributed Voronoi cell computation

Consider $N$ robots in a multi-robot system (MRS). Let $\mathcal{P}=$ $\left\{p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{N}\right\}$ be the configuration of the MRS, where $p_{i} \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is the position of the $i$-th robot. Let $I_{N}=\{1,2, \ldots, N\}$ be an index set. By a slight abuse of notation, we use $p_{i}$ to refer to both the $i$-th robot and its position in the space. All the robots know the configuration $\mathcal{P}$. This can be achieved by a broadcast communication amongst the robots. Let $\mathcal{V}=\left\{V_{i} \mid i \in I_{N}\right\}$ be the Voronoi partition generated by $\mathcal{P}$ as a node set, with

$$
V_{i}=\left\{q\left\|p_{i}-q\right\| \leq\left\|p_{j}-q\right\|, \forall j \in I_{N}\right\}
$$

[^0]

Fig. 1. a) Motivation for using relative configuration in polar coordinate system. b) he robot $p_{i}$ re-indexes all robots based on the relative position in a polar coordinate system with $p_{i}=q_{0}$ as center.

Nodes $i$ and $j$ are considered Voronoi neighbors (or neighbors in the Delaunay graph $\mathcal{G}_{D}$ ), if the corresponding Voronoi cells $V_{i}$ and $V_{j}$ share a common edge.

Problem statement: For each $i \in I_{N}$, given $\mathcal{P}$, the $i$-th robot should compute the corresponding Voronoi cell $V_{i}$, and its Voronoi neighbors.

## A. Preprocessing

The Voronoi cell $V_{i}$ of the robot located at $p_{i}$, and its Voronoi neighbors, depend on the positions and orientations of the remaining robots. While calculating the Voronoi cell of each robot, selecting the set of its Voronoi neighbors based on Euclidean distances with positions of robots represented in Cartesian coordinates might lead to complicated analysis and calculations. For example, in Figure 1(a), robots $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$ are the Voronoi neighbors for robot $q_{0}$. Robots $q_{3}$ and $q_{4}$ are equidistant from $q_{0}$ but it is easy to see that $q_{3}$ is not $q_{0}$ 's Voronoi neighbor, while $q_{4}$ could be a Voronoi neighbor, depending on the relative position and orientation of other robots. In contrast, representing relative robot positions using a polar coordinate system provides a more succinct way to enable the computation of Voronoi cells.

The $i$-th robot, $p_{i}$, constructs two ordered sets of robots to represent the configuration of its neighboring robots in the polar coordinate space with itself as the origin. The first set
${ }^{i} Q=\left\{{ }^{i} q_{1},{ }^{i} q_{2}, \ldots,{ }^{i} q_{N-1}\right\}$ contains the neighboring robots of $p_{i}$ sorted in order of increasing distance (radius) from $p_{i}$. Ties in radii are broken by ordering the robots equidistant from $p_{i}$ in increasing order of angles with the line joining $p_{i}$ and ${ }^{i} q_{1}$, the closest robot to $p_{i}$. If more than one robot are on $C_{1}$, then one of these robots ${ }^{1}$ is randomly chosen as $q_{1}$. The second set ${ }^{i} Q^{\prime}=\left\{{ }^{i} q_{1}^{\prime},{ }^{1} q_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots,{ }^{i} q_{N-1}^{\prime}\right\}$ contains the neighboring robots of $p_{i}$ sorted in order of increasing angle with the line joining $p_{i}$ and ${ }^{i} q_{1}$, the closest robot to $p_{i}$ as the base angle. Ties on angle are broken by ordering robots in increasing distance (radius). For the sake of legibility, we rename robot $p_{i}$ as $q_{0}$. An example illustrating the construction of the sets ${ }^{i} Q,{ }^{i} Q^{\prime}$ is illustrated in Figure 1(b). With $q_{0}\left(=p_{i}\right)$ as center, let ${ }^{i} C_{1},{ }^{i} C_{2}, \ldots{ }^{i} C_{K}, K \leq N-1$, denote virtual circles of increasing radii passing through one or more robots in ${ }^{i} Q$; circle ${ }^{i} C_{1}$ passes through ${ }^{i} q_{1}$ and so on. Let ${ }^{i} r_{k}$ be the radius of circle ${ }^{i} C_{k}$. In the following, when the context is unambiguous, we drop the superscript $i$ to simplify the notation and refer to ${ }^{i} q_{j}$ as $q_{j},{ }^{i} C_{k}$ as $C_{k}$, and ${ }^{i} r_{k}$ as $r_{k}$. For brevity, we use $q_{k}$ (or $q_{k}^{\prime}$ ) to refer to both the robot itself and its position.

After computing the relative configuration of its neighboring robots, the $i$-th robot computes its Voronoi cell in two distinct phases - the expansion phase and the contraction phase, which are described in the following sections.

## B. Expansion Phase

Assume that the $i$-th robot has access only to $\bar{B}\left(p_{i}, R_{i}\right)$, the closed disc of radius $R_{i}$ centered at $p_{i}$. If there are no robots within a distance of $R_{i}$, then the constrained Voronoi cell is $\bar{B}\left(p_{i}, R_{i}\right)$ itself. We call $R_{i}$ as pseudo sensor range, as this limit on the range is only used for the purpose of computation, and not the real limitation of the robot. The $i$-th robot computes the Voronoi cell starting with $R_{i}(1)=r_{1}$, and expands the constrained Voronoi cell incrementally by setting $R_{i}(n)=r_{n}$ at $n$-th step. The procedure is discussed formally in the following.

Let $N_{k}$ be the number of robots on $C_{k}, k \in I_{K}$. Note that in non-degenerate conditions, $N_{k} \leq 3, \forall k \in I_{K}$. Let $\mathcal{N}_{k}=$ $N_{1}+N_{2}+\cdots+N_{k}$, the number of robots on or inside $C_{k}$. Let $H\left(q_{0}, p\right)$ be the half plane defined by perpendicular bisector of $q_{0} \leftrightarrow p$ containing $q_{0}$, for any $p \in \mathcal{P} \backslash\left\{p_{i}\right\}$, and $D_{k}=$ $\bar{B}\left(p_{i}, r_{k}\right)$.

The robot starts with $R_{i}(1)=r_{1}$ and finds the Voronoi cell at the $n$-th step as,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{V}_{n}=\left\{D_{n} \cap\left\{\bigcup_{l=1}^{\mathcal{N}_{n}} H\left(q_{0}, q_{l}\right)\right\}, n \in I_{K}\right. \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we denote $V_{i}\left(\mathcal{P}_{n}\right)$ as the Voronoi cell corresponding to $p_{i}$ with $\mathcal{P}_{n}=\left\{p_{j} \mid \quad\left\|p_{i}-p_{j}\right\| \leq r_{n}, j \in I_{N-1}\right\} \subset \mathcal{P}$, the set of robots/nodes on or within the virtual circle $C_{n}$ as node set, then $\hat{V}_{n}=D_{n} \cap V_{i}\left(\mathcal{P}_{n}\right)$ gives the portion of Voronoi cell within $D_{n}$. Note the boundary of $V_{n}$ is made up of line segments corresponding to perpendicular bisectors and arcs on
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Fig. 2. Computation of approximate Voronoi cell in the expansion phase. The candidate Voronoi cell at each step is shown by the region enclosed by bold lines.
the virtual circle $C_{n}$. If $p_{i}$ is in the interior of the convex hull of $\mathcal{P}$, the expansion phase continues until either the Voronoi cell $\hat{V}_{n}$ is a bounded polygon within $D_{n}$, or until the largest virtual circle $C_{K}$ centered at $p_{i}$ is reached $(n=K)$ without finding a bounded polygon. A special case occurs if $p_{i}$ lies on the boundary of the convex hull of $\mathcal{P}$, and $p_{i}$ can never have a polygonal Voronoi cell as the Voronoi cell is unbounded. In this case, the expansion phase terminates when there are no arcs in the portion of the boundary of $\hat{V}_{n}$ within the convex hull of $\mathcal{P}$. Figure 2 illustrates the expansion phase for a robot $p_{i}$ with the same configuration of neighboring robots shown in Figure 1(b). The expansion phase terminates at the 3rd circle as soon as a bounded polygon is found. In the following section, we describe a distributed algorithm that can be used by each robot for constructing its candidate Voronoi cell using this expansion technique.

The construction of the candidate Voronoi cell during the expansion phase proceeds into two steps as shown in Algorithm 1. In the first step, we construct a chord $L_{j}^{k}{ }^{2}$ corresponding to each neighboring robot $q_{j}^{\prime}$ within $C_{k}$. For this, we first look at the intersection of $b_{0 j}$, the perpendicular bisector of line joining $q_{0}$ and $q_{j}^{\prime}\left(j\right.$-th node on $Q^{\prime}$, the relative configuration sorted based on $\theta$ ), with the circle $C_{k}$. Let the points of intersection of $b_{0 j}$ with $C_{k}$ be $\left(r_{k}, \theta_{j}^{s}\right)$ and $\left(r_{k}, \theta_{j}^{e}\right)$. We already know $r_{k}$, and $\theta_{j}^{s}$ and $\theta_{j}^{e}$ can be calculated as:

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta_{j}^{s} & =\bmod _{2 \pi}\left(\theta_{j}+\delta \theta_{j}\right)  \tag{2}\\
\theta_{j}^{e} & =\bmod _{2 \pi}\left(\theta_{j}-\delta \theta_{j}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where, $\delta \theta_{j}=\cos ^{-1}\left(\frac{r_{j}}{2 r_{k}}\right)$. Note that it is easy to see that $2 \pi / 3 \leq \delta \theta_{j}<\pi / 2$. We store the set of chords corresponding to each $q_{j}^{\prime}$ within $C_{k}$ in an ordered set called $\mathcal{L}$.

In the second step, we find the intersection points of the chords constructed in $\mathcal{L}$ from the first step and check to see if they form a bounded polygon within circle $C_{k}$. If such a bounded polygon is found, we terminate with the candidate Voronoi cell, otherwise we continue to the next larger circle $C_{k+1}$.

The checking of chords to find intersections requires some insight. To enable our calculations, we construct an ordered set of polar angles of the chords, represented with their start and end points in polar coordinates, in the set $\Theta$, in

[^2]```
expansion \(\left(K, Q^{\prime}\right)\)
Input: \(K, Q^{\prime} ; / / K\) : no. of virtual circles in neighbor
    configuration of robot \(p_{i} ; Q^{\prime}\) : angle ordered set of
    neighboring robots of \(p_{i}\) in polar coords
Output: \(\hat{V}, \mathcal{L} ; / / \hat{V}\) : ordered vertex set representing
    candidate Voronoi cell of robot \(p_{i}\) if successful,
    null set if unsuccessful; \(\mathcal{L}\) :angle-sorted list of
    chords in circle \(C_{k}\)
\(\hat{V} \leftarrow \emptyset ;\)
\(q_{0} \leftarrow\) origin of polar coord system corresponding to
location of \(p_{i}\);
for \(k=2\) to \(K\) do
    \(\mathcal{L} \leftarrow \emptyset ; \Theta \leftarrow \emptyset ;\)
    for \(j=1\) to \(k\) do
        \(L_{j} \leftarrow\) Perp. bisector of line \(\left(q_{0}, q_{j}^{\prime}\right): q_{j}^{\prime} \in Q^{\prime} ;\)
        \(\left(\theta_{j}^{s}, \theta_{j}^{e}\right) \leftarrow\) Angles of extremities of chord \(L_{j}\)
        with \(q_{0}\) within circle \(C_{k}\) of radius \(r_{k}\);
        \(\mathcal{L} \leftarrow \mathcal{L} \cup L_{j} ;\)
        \(\Theta \leftarrow \Theta \cup\left\{\theta_{j}^{s}, \theta_{j}^{e}\right\}\)
    end
    // \(\mathcal{L}\) is already in ascending order of index \(j\);
    Sort \(\Theta\) in ascending order;
    // remove redundant chords from \(\mathcal{L}\) using
    checkChords method
    boundedPoly, convexBounds \(\leftarrow\)
    checkChords \(\left(\Theta, \mathcal{L}, Q^{\prime}\right)\);
    if boundedPoly \(=\) true then
        \(\hat{V} \leftarrow\) constructPoly \((\mathcal{L}\), convex Bounds \()\);
        break;
    end
end
```

return $\hat{V}, \mathcal{L}$;

Algorithm 1: Algorithm used by robot $p_{i}$ during the expansion phase of the distributed Voronoi cell computation
the method expansion given in Algorithm 1. Then, in the checkChords method given in Algorithm 2, for each chord $L_{j}$, we extract the set of chords that have either their start or end point, or both, between $L_{j}$ 's ending and starting points (in that order), in an ordered set $\Theta_{d i f f, j}$, starting with the end point of the first chord $L_{1}$ in $\mathcal{L}$. The chords which have only one start or end point between $L_{j}$ 's end and start points intersect $L_{j}$, while those that have both end points do not intersect $L_{j}$. We store the intersects of $L_{j}$ with other chords in a set called intersect that is indexed by $L_{j}$, while we remove chords that do not intersect $L_{j}$ from the set of chords $\mathcal{L}$. As an example, consider the set $\Theta$ from our running example shown in Figure 1(b) and re-illustrated in Figure 3(b), and $\Theta=$ $\left(\begin{array}{llllllllllll}\theta_{1}^{e} & \theta_{2}^{e} & \theta_{6}^{s} & \theta_{3}^{e} & \theta_{2}^{s} & \theta_{1}^{s} & \theta_{4}^{e} & \theta_{3}^{s} & \theta_{5}^{e} & \theta_{6}^{e} & \theta_{5}^{s} & \theta_{4}^{s}\end{array}\right)$. Here $\Theta_{d i f f, 1}=\left\{\begin{array}{llll}\theta_{2}^{e} & \theta_{6}^{s} & \theta_{3}^{e} & \theta_{2}^{s}\end{array}\right\}$. Since both the start and end points of $L_{2}, \theta_{2}^{e}$ and $\theta_{1}^{e}$ appear in $\Theta_{d i f f, 1}$, we remove $L_{2}$ from $\mathcal{L}$, and the entries corresponding to $L_{2}$, viz., $\theta_{2}^{e}$ and $\theta_{2}^{s}$, from $\Theta$. Looking at Figure 3(b), this makes sense because chords $L_{2}$ and $L_{1}$ do not intersect with each other. The remaining entries in $\Theta_{d i f f, 1}$ are $\theta_{6}^{s}$ and $\theta_{3}^{e}$,
checkChords $\left(\Theta, \mathcal{L}, Q^{\prime}\right)$
Input: $\Theta, \mathcal{L}, Q^{\prime} ; / / \Theta$ : sorted list of endpoints of chords in circle $C_{k}, \mathcal{L}$ : angle-sorted list of chords in circle $C_{k}, Q^{\prime}$ : angle ordered set of neighboring robots of $p_{i}\left(=q_{0}\right)$ in polar coords
Output: boundedPoly, convexBounds; //boundedPoly: boolean values indicating if chords in $\mathcal{L}$ form a close polygon, convexBounds: ordered pair of chords in $\mathcal{L}$ if $p_{i}$ is on a convex hull with its neighboring robots
foreach $L_{j} \in \mathcal{L}$ do
$\mid$ intersect $\left[L_{j}\right] \leftarrow \emptyset$;
end
foreach $\left(\theta_{j}^{s}, \theta_{j}^{e}\right) \in \Theta$ do
$\Theta_{d i f f, j} \leftarrow$ ordered set of endpoints of chords lying
between $\left(\theta_{j}^{e}, \theta_{j}^{s}\right)$ in $\Theta$;
if $\exists m$ s.t. $\theta_{m}^{s} \wedge \theta_{m}^{e} \in \Theta_{d i f f, j}$ then
Remove $L_{m}$ from $\mathcal{L}$;
end
else if $\exists m$ s.t. either $\theta_{m}^{s}$ or $\theta_{m}^{e} \in \Theta_{d i f f, j}$ then
intersect $\left[L_{j}\right] \leftarrow \operatorname{intersect}\left[L_{j}\right] \cup L_{m}$;
end
end
//check to see if remaining chords in $\mathcal{L}$ form a closed
polygon boundedPoly $\leftarrow$ false;
convexBounds $\leftarrow \emptyset$;
foreach $L_{j} \in \mathcal{L}$ do
$L_{m} \leftarrow L_{j}$.next () ;
// next() gives the next chord in $\mathcal{L}$ with wrap around
if $L_{m} \in$ intersect $\left[L_{j}\right]$ then
boundedPoly $\leftarrow$ true;
end
else
//check if polygon will be unbounded because $p_{i}$ is on convexHull of neighboring robots
$\left\{\theta_{\min }, \theta_{\max }\right\} \leftarrow$ checkConvexHull $\left(Q^{\prime}\right)$;
// get the angle of radial lines bisecting chords $L_{j}$
and $L_{k}$ resp.
$\theta_{j} \leftarrow\left(\theta_{j}^{s}+\theta_{j}^{e}\right) / 2 ; \theta_{m} \leftarrow\left(\theta_{m}^{s}+\theta_{m}^{e}\right) / 2 ;$
if $\left\{\theta_{j}, \theta_{m}\right\}=\left\{\theta_{\min }, \theta_{\max }\right\}$ then
convexBounds $\leftarrow L_{j}, L_{m}$;
boundedPoly $\leftarrow$ true;
end
end
else
boundedPoly $\leftarrow$ false;
break;
end
end
return boundedPoly, convex Bounds;
Algorithm 2: Method used within expansion phase to remove non-intersecting lines in $\mathcal{L}$
corresponding to chords $L_{6}$ and $L_{3}$ respectively, that has either one start point or one end point in $\Theta_{\text {diff, } 1}$. And so, intersect $\left[L_{1}\right]=\left\{L_{3}, L_{6}\right\}$. Proceeding in this manner, we


Fig. 3. Expansion phase is (a) not terminated, and (b) terminated, when the $i$-th robot is in the interior of $\operatorname{Co}(\mathcal{P})$.


Fig. 4. a) Illustration of a node on convex hull. Nodes $q_{2}^{\prime}$ and $q_{4}^{\prime}$ are neighbors of $q_{0}$ in $\mathcal{G}_{D}$. Expansion phase is b) not terminated, andc ) terminated, when the $i$-th robot is on the boundary of $C o(\mathcal{P})$, where ConvexBounds $=\left(L_{3}, L_{4}\right)$.
get, intersect $\left[L_{3}\right]=\left\{L_{1}, L_{4}\right\}$, intersect $\left[L_{4}\right]=\left\{L_{4}, L_{6}\right\}$ (chord $L_{5}$ gets removed while inspecting $\Theta_{d i f f, 4}$ ), and intersect $\left[L_{6}\right]=\left\{L_{4}, L_{1}\right\}$.

## checkConvexHull( $Q^{\prime}$ )

Input: $Q^{\prime} / /$ set of neighboring robots of $p_{i}$ order in increasing polar angles w.r.t. $p_{i}$

```
if \(\exists q_{j} \in Q^{\prime}, j \in I_{N-2}\) s.t. \(\left(\theta\left(q_{j+1}\right)-\theta\left(q_{j}\right)\right)>\pi\) then
    \(\left(\theta_{\min }, \theta_{\max }\right) \leftarrow\left(\theta\left(q_{j}\right), \theta\left(q_{j+1}\right)\right)\);
    return true;
end
else if \(\theta\left(q_{N-1}\right) \leq \pi\) then
    \(\left(\theta_{\text {min }}, \theta_{\max }\right) \leftarrow\left(\theta\left(q_{N-1}\right), 0\right) ;\)
    return true;
end
else
    return false;
end
```

Algorithm 3: Algorithm for checking if $p_{i}$ is on a convex hull w.r.t its neighboring robots

Checking if the final set of chords forms a bounded polygon is fairly intuitive - we check if every chord intersects with its successive chord in the ordered set. If this condition is not satisfied for one successive pair of chords, we cannot find a bounded polygon within the current circle. For example, in Figure 3(b) chords $L_{3}$ and $L_{4}$ do not intersect and the set of chords form an unbounded polygon. We then continue to the calculations of chords for the next larger circle. Continuing the


Fig. 5. a) The constrained Voronoi cell $\hat{V}_{K}$ is $1 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 6 \rightarrow 7$, is not a polygon. After termination of expansion phase, $\hat{V}_{K}$ is extended into a polygon $1 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 7$, while actual Voronoi cell $V_{i}$ is $1 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 5 \rightarrow 7$. b) Illustration of contraction phase.
example from Figure 3(a), we see that in Figure 3(a) the final set of chords $L_{1}, L_{3}, L_{4}$ and $L_{6}$ intersect with each other and form a bounded polygon. Finally, if we reach the largest circle for the robot and are still unable to find a bounded polygon within $D_{K}$, the expansion phase is terminated and a polygon is constructed based on existing lines in the chord set $\mathcal{L}$. Figure 5(a) gives an illustration of such a scenario.

A special case of an unbounded polygon occurs when the robot $p_{i}$ calculating the Voronoi cell lies on the convex hull of the set of robots $\mathcal{P}$. This condition is illustrated in Figure 4(a) and checked in the latter part of the checkChords method in Algorithm 2 using the checkConvexHull method shown in Algorithm 3. For each pair of chords in the final set of chords $\mathcal{L}$, we check if their respective perpendicular bisectors correspond to a pair of successive edges on the convex hull of the set of robots $\mathcal{P}$. Formally, the conditions that test if $p_{i} \in \partial(\operatorname{Co}(\mathcal{P}))$ are given in the following lemmas.

Lemma 1: A point $p_{i} \in \mathcal{P}$ is on $\partial(\operatorname{Co}(\mathcal{P})$, if and only if, there exists $j \in I_{N-2}$, such that, $\theta\left(q_{j+1}^{\prime}\right)-\theta\left(q_{j}^{\prime}\right)>\pi$, or, $\theta\left(p_{N-1}^{\prime}\right)<\pi$.
The proof is fairly straight forward and is skipped here.
Lemma 2: For a robot $p_{i} \in \partial(\operatorname{Co}(\mathcal{P}))$, closest nodes on radial lines along $\theta_{\max }$ and $\theta_{\text {min }}$ directions are neighbors in $\mathcal{G}_{D}$.

The result is fairly intuitive and we skip the formal proof. The expansion phase is terminated even when $\hat{V}_{n}$ is not a polygon as illustrated in Figure 4(c). Here, the chords $L_{3}$ and $L_{4}$ correspond to nodes on radial lines corresponding to $\theta_{\text {min }}$ and $\theta_{\max }$, respectively. Whereas, as illustrated in Figure 4(b), when $L_{3} \notin \mathcal{L}$, the expansion phase is not terminated.

The constructPoly method returns a set of points corresponding to the intersections of the chords returned by checkChords method if a bounded polygon can be found. Otherwise, if the convex hull case occurs, it returns the appropriate edges from the convexHull along with the intersection points of the intersecting chords.

## C. Contraction Phase

In the second phase called contraction phase, the Voronoi cell is contracted by identifying candidate nodes or neighbors.

Contraction $(\hat{V}, \mathcal{L})$
Input: $\mathcal{L}$, convexBounds; $/ / \mathcal{L}$ : minimal list of chords (angle-sorted) returned by constructPoly method, $V$ : ordered vertex set representing candidate Voronoi cell of robot $p_{i}$ returned by constructPoly method
Output: $V$;// ordered set of vertices representing the Voronoi cell, $\hat{N}^{\prime}$ set of indexes of robots in $Q^{\prime}$ which are Voronoi neighbors of robot $p_{i}$.
$\hat{N}^{\prime} \leftarrow\left\{j \mid L_{j} \in \mathcal{L}\right\} ;$
$j \leftarrow 1$;
$d_{j} \leftarrow\left\|q_{o}-v_{j}\right\|$
// $v_{j}$ is the current vertex of $V$ being checked for
possible contraction of $V$.
while $j \leq|V|$ do
$m=\max _{k}\left\{k \mid r_{k} \leq 2 d_{j}\right\}$
$l \leftarrow 1 ;$
//Start with first circle.
while $(l \leq m)$ do
$Q_{l}^{\prime} \leftarrow\left\{q_{k}^{\prime} \mid r\left(q_{k}^{\prime}\right)=r_{l}\right\} ;$
// all robots on circle $C_{l}$.
$\alpha_{1} \leftarrow \theta\left(v_{j}\right)-\cos ^{-1}\left(r_{l} / 2 d_{j}\right) ;$
$\alpha_{1} \leftarrow \theta\left(v_{j}\right)-\cos ^{-1}\left(r_{l} / 2 d_{j}\right)$;
$/ / \theta\left(v_{j}\right)$ is the angle between lines joining $q_{0}$ to $v_{j}$ and that joining $q_{0}$ and $q_{1}$.
if $\exists q_{k}^{\prime} \in Q_{l}^{\prime}$ s.t. $\left(\alpha_{1} \leq \theta\left(q_{k}^{\prime}\right) \leq \alpha_{2}\right) \wedge\left(k \notin \hat{N}^{\prime}\right)$ then
$v_{1} \leftarrow$ findIntersection $\left(L_{j 1}, L_{k}\right) ;$
$v_{2} \leftarrow$ findIntersection $\left(L_{k}, L_{j 2}\right)$;
// the vertex $v_{j}$ is formed by lines
$L_{j 1}, L_{j 2} \in L$ with $L_{j 2}=L_{j 1}$.next.
$V \leftarrow V \backslash\left\{v_{j}\right\} ;$
$V \leftarrow V \cup\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}\right\} ;$
// new vertexes $v_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ are inserted in
order, after $v_{j-1}$.
$\hat{N}^{\prime} \leftarrow \hat{N}^{\prime} \cup\{k\} ;$
$l=m+1 ; / /$ Do not check any more robots for $v_{j}$.
$j \leftarrow j-1 ;$

## end

end
$j \leftarrow j+1 ;$
end
return $V, \hat{N}^{\prime}$
Algorithm 4: Algorithm used to contract the candidate Voronoi cell during the contraction phase

This is achieved by checking for candidate nodes which contract the Voronoi cell, for each vertex of the candidate polygon, using following condition [4], [5].

Lemma 3: Consider a bounded Voronoi cell $\hat{V}_{k}$ corresponding to a node $p$. A node $q$ reduces $\hat{V}_{k}$ iff there exists a vertex $v$ of $\hat{V}_{k}$ such that $\|q-v\|<\|p-c\|$.

Instead of checking all nodes, only selected nodes will be checked using the relative configuration information, thus
reducing the number of checks. (In other words, instead of searching whole of $\mathcal{P} \backslash\left\{p_{i}\right\}$, only a subset of it is searched.)

Let $\alpha(l, j, 1)$ and $\alpha(l, j, 2)$ be angles corresponding to intersections of $C_{l}$ and a circle $C\left(v_{j}, d_{j}\right)$, centered at $v_{j}$, the $j$-th vertex of current Voronoi polygon, and radius $d_{j}=\left\|v_{j}-q_{0}\right\|$. See Figure 5(b) for illustration.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(l, j, 1(2))=\theta\left(v_{j}\right)-(+) \cos ^{-1}\left(r_{k} / 2 d_{j}\right) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta\left(v_{j}\right)$ is the argument of point the $v_{j}$. For any vertex $v_{j}$, if there exists a node inside the disc $\bar{B}\left(v_{j}, d_{j}\right)$, then this node is a Voronoi neighbor of $q_{0}$ and will reduce the Voronoi cell. The corresponding perpendicular bisector is found and the Voronoi cell is updated. Now, if the expansion phase had terminated at $C_{n}$, then, for a vertex $v_{j}$, we need to check if there are nodes (robots) on circles $C_{l}$, within the angle range $(\alpha(l, j, 1), \alpha(l, j, 2)), m \geq l>n$, where $m=\arg \max _{j \in\{n+1, \ldots, K\}}\left\{r_{j} \mid r_{j} \leq d_{j}\right\}$, if $n<K$. If the expansion phase ended in last circle, then check if there exist any robots within $(\alpha(K, j, 1), \alpha(K, j, 2))$, on $C_{K}$. Note that number of vertexes of $\hat{V}_{n}$ is at most equal to number of neighbors of $p_{i}$ in $\mathcal{G}_{D}$.

The contraction phase terminates when for every vertex $v_{j}$ of the polygon $\hat{V}$, the approximate Voronoi cell, corresponding $\bar{B}\left(v_{j}, d_{j}\right)$ does not contain any node (robot).

## III. Analysis of the Algorithm

In this section, we provide correctness results for the proposed distributed algorithm for computation of Voronoi cell.

Lemma 4: The expansion phase terminates in finite time.
This result is trivial as in worst case, the expansion phase terminates in $K$-th step and $K \leq N-1$.

We state a property of Voronoi partition here which will be used in the following to prove the correctness of the expansion phase.
Property 1. For any sets of nodes $\mathcal{P}_{1}, \mathcal{P}_{2}$ with $\mathcal{P}_{1} \subseteq \mathcal{P}_{2}$, $\forall i: p_{i} \in \mathcal{P}_{1}, V_{i}\left(\mathcal{P}_{2}\right) \subseteq V_{i}\left(\mathcal{P}_{1}\right)$.

The Voronoi partition induces an undirected graph known as Delaunay graph, $\mathcal{G}_{D}$, where two nodes $i, j \in I_{N}$ are neighbors if the intersection of corresponding Voronoi cells $V_{i}$ and $V_{j}$ is a line segment. Set of neighbors of $i$ is denoted as $\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{G}_{D}}(i)$.

Lemma 5: If expansion phase terminates at $n$-th circle, $n<$ $K$, then $V_{i} \subseteq \hat{V}_{n}$.
Proof. Let $Q_{n}=\left\{q \in \mathcal{Q} \mid r(q) \leq r_{n}\right\} \subset \mathcal{Q}$. Let $\mathcal{N}\left(\hat{V}_{n}\right)$ be such that if $q_{j} \in \mathcal{N}_{n}\left(\hat{V}_{n}\right)$, then $\hat{V}_{n}$ has an edge which is a line segment in $b_{0 j}$. In other words, if $\hat{V}_{n}$ is the Voronoi cell of $q_{0}$, then $\mathcal{N}\left(\hat{V}_{n}\right)$ is the set of its Voronoi neighbors. Clearly, $\mathcal{N}_{n}\left(\hat{V}_{n}\right) \subset\left(\mathcal{Q} \backslash\left\{q_{0}\right\}\right) \subset \mathcal{P}$. Thus, by property $1, V_{i}(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq \hat{V}_{n}$. $\square$ computed.

Lemma 6: The contraction phase terminates.
Proof. At any stage in the contraction phase the candidate Voronoi cell has only a finite number of vertexes and for each vertex $V_{i}$, only a finite number of nodes can lie within $C\left(v_{i}, d_{i}\right)$.

Theorem 1: The polygon or region at the end of contraction phase represents the Voronoi cell.


Fig. 6. Sample result obtained by implementation of proposed distributed Voronoi cell computation algorithm. The robot locations are marked with '*'.

Proof. Let $v(i)$ be the set of vertexes of $\hat{V}_{n}, V^{\prime}$ be the region obtained at the end of the contraction phase. By Lemma 5, $V_{i} \subseteq \hat{V}_{n}$. Further by Lemma 3, only nodes which can reduce $\hat{V}_{n}$ are the nodes within $B\left(v_{j}, d_{j}\right)$ for all $v_{j} \in v(i)$, which is checked in contraction phase. Thus by Lemma 3, $V^{\prime}=V_{i}$.

## IV. illustrative Experimental Result

The algorithm is implemented using $\mathrm{C}++$. In order to illustrate the result, we considered a simple scenario with 5 robots as shown in Figure 6. The robot computing Voronoi cell is labeled $q_{0}$. The expansion phase terminated in 3rd circle and out of four chords $\left(L_{1}, L_{2}, L_{3}, L_{4}\right)$, the chord $L_{2}$ is discarded and it can be seen that remaining chords form a closed convex polygon shown with dark lines. In this situation, the polygon obtained after expansion phase itself is the final Voronoi cell. Thus, contraction phase does not modify the polygon created.

## V. Related Work

Computation of the complete Voronoi partition is a standard problem addressed in computational geometry [6]. Calculation of the Voronoi partition requires the underlying communication graph of the nodes to be connected. There are only a few existing techniques that employ a distributed computation of the Voronoi cell. In [7], an approximate Voronoi cell is constructed for each node using its four closest nodes, one from each quadrant. A filter-and-refine algorithm is presented in [8], where in the first phase, the sensor node computes an approximate Voronoi cell based on the nodes within its radio range, which is refined by communicating with other nodes within an impact range. In [5], the authors consider a bounded region and an initial node set as a subset of the entire node set that yields a bounded Voronoi cell. Then a geographic routing protocol called GPSR is used to probe for nodes that reduce the initial Voronoi cell and refine it. A similar approach
is used in [9], where the sensors cooperate to refine the Voronoi cell and achieve a faster convergence. The first phase of these algorithms constructs approximate candidate Voronoi cells based on a small number of nodes while using a 'brute force' approach [8]. In contrast to these brute force methods, we use a more systematic approach in a structured, expansion phase to construct the initial, approximate Voronoi cells. Also, the existing algorithms rely on communication protocols to exchange positional information on demand. In contrast our work requires robots to exchange positional information with each other only at the beginning of the algorithm. Finally, most of the existing techniques were proposed for sensor networks and rely on specific communication protocols (e.g., GPSR[5]). On the other hand, our work is also suitable for multi-agent/robot settings and does not rely on any specific communication protocol.

## VI. Conclusions and Future Work

We presented a distributed algorithm for computation of exact Voronoi cell in the context of multi-robotic systems. Each robot computing the corresponding Voronoi cell computes the configuration of remaining robots in the polar coordinate system using its position as the reference. The Voronoi cell is computed in two distinct phases, namely expansion phase and contraction phase. It was shown that the proposed algorithm successfully computes the exact Voronoi cell. A detailed analysis of the computational complexity of the proposed algorithm, comparison of its performance with existing centralized and distributed algorithms, and optimization of the algorithm to improve its performance, are some of the ongoing works. We are also working on a distributed algorithm for computation of Voronoi cells constrained by the sensor range of robots, as an extension of the proposed algorithm.

## REFERENCES

[1] J. Cortes, S. Martinez, T. Karata, and F. Bullo, "Coverage control for mobile sensing networks," IEEE Transactions on Robotics and Automation, vol. 20, no. 2, pp. 243-255, 2004.
[2] A.A. Khalek, L. Al-kanj, Z. Dawy, and G. Turkiyyah, "Site placement and site selection algorithms for umts radio planning with quality constraints," in Proc of IEEE 17th conf on telecommunication, 2010, pp. 375-381.
[3] H.-J. Lee, Y.-H. Kim, Y.-H. Han, and C.Y. Park, "Centroid-based movement assisted sensor deployment schemes in wireless sensor networks," in proc of IEEE Vehicular Technology Conference, 2009, pp. 1-5.
[4] I. Stanoi, M. Riedwald, D. Agrawal, and A.E. Abbadi, "Discovery of influence sets in frequently updtaed databases," in Proc of 27 th International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, 2001, pp. 99-108.
[5] B.A. Bash and P.J. Desnoyers, "Exact distributed voronoi cell computation in sensor networks," in Proc of the Sixth IEEE/ACM Conference On Information Processing in Sensor Networks, 2007, pp. 236-243.
[6] F. Aurenhammer, "Voronoi diagrams - a survey of a fundamental geometric data structure," ACM Computing Surveys, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 345-405, 1991.
[7] M. Sharifzadeh and C. Shahabi, "Supporting spatial aggregation in sensor network databases," in Proc of 12 th International Symposium of ACM GIS, 2004.
[8] B. Harrington and Y. Huang, "In-network surface simplification for sensor fields," in Proc of the 13th Annual ACM International Workshop on GIS, 2005.
[9] Y. N. Rodríguez, H. Xiao, K. Islam, and W. Alsailih, "A distributed algorithm for computing voronoi diagram in the unit disk graph model," in Proc of 20th Canadian Conference in Computational Geometry, 2008.


[^0]:    *This work has been supported as part of the COMRADES project supported by the U.S. DoD Office of Naval Research, grant no. N0000140911174.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ It is easy to show that all robots on $C_{1}$ are Voronoi neighbors of $p_{i}$.

[^2]:    ${ }^{2}$ For brevity, we drop the superscript $k$ when the context is clear.

