



Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



AKCE International Journal of Graphs and Combinatorics

AKCE International Journal of Graphs and Combinatorics 13 (2016) 261-266

www.elsevier.com/locate/akcej

# On clique convergence of graphs

S.M. Hegde\*, Suresh Dara

Department of Mathematical and Computational Sciences, National Institute of Technology Karnataka, Surathkal, Mangalore-575025, India

Received 11 February 2016; received in revised form 29 June 2016; accepted 7 July 2016 Available online 3 August 2016

#### Abstract

Let *G* be a graph and  $\mathcal{K}_G$  be the set of all cliques of *G*, then the clique graph of *G* denoted by K(G) is the graph with vertex set  $\mathcal{K}_G$  and two elements  $Q_i, Q_j \in \mathcal{K}_G$  form an edge if and only if  $Q_i \cap Q_j \neq \emptyset$ . Iterated clique graphs are defined by  $K^0(G) = G$ , and  $K^n(G) = K(K^{n-1}(G))$  for n > 0. In this paper we prove a necessary and sufficient condition for a clique graph K(G) to be complete when  $G = G_1 + G_2$ , give a partial characterization for clique divergence of the join of graphs and prove that if  $G_1, G_2$  are Clique-Helly graphs different from  $K_1$  and  $G = G_1 \square G_2$ , then  $K^2(G) = G$ .

© 2016 Kalasalingam University. Publishing Services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

Keywords: Maximal clique; Clique graph; Graph operator

#### 1. Introduction

Given a simple graph G = (V, E), not necessarily finite, a clique in G is a maximal complete subgraph in G. Let G be a graph and  $\mathcal{K}_G$  be the set of all cliques of G, then the clique graph operator is denoted by K and the clique graph of G is denoted by K(G), where K(G) is the graph with vertex set  $\mathcal{K}_G$  and two elements  $Q_i, Q_j \in \mathcal{K}_G$  form an edge if and only if  $Q_i \cap Q_j \neq \emptyset$ . Clique graph was introduced by Hamelink in 1968 [1]. Iterated clique graphs are defined by  $K^0(G) = G$ , and  $K^n(G) = K(K^{n-1}(G))$  for n > 0 (see [2–4]).

**Definition 1.1.** A graph G is said to be K-periodic if there exists a positive integer n such that  $G \cong K^n(G)$  and the least such integer is called the K-periodicity of G, denoted K-per (G).

**Definition 1.2.** A graph G is said to be K-Convergent if  $\{K^n(G) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is finite, otherwise it is K-Divergent (see [5]).

**Definition 1.3.** A graph H is said to be K-root of a graph G if K(H) = G.

If G is a clique graph then one can observe that, the set of all K-roots of G is either empty or infinite.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.akcej.2016.07.002

Peer review under responsibility of Kalasalingam University.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: smhegde@nitk.ac.in (S.M. Hegde), suresh.dara@gmail.com (S. Dara).

<sup>0972-8600/© 2016</sup> Kalasalingam University. Publishing Services by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

**Definition 1.4** ([3]). A graph G is a Clique-Helly Graph if the set of cliques has the Helly-Property. That is, for every family of pairwise intersecting cliques of the graph, the total intersection of all these cliques should be non-empty also.

**Definition 1.5.** Let  $G_1 = (V_1, E_1)$ ,  $G_2 = (V_2, E_2)$  be the two graphs. Then their join  $G_1 + G_2$  is obtained by adding all possible edges between the vertices of  $G_1$  and  $G_2$ .

**Definition 1.6.** The Cartesian product of two graphs *G* and *H*, denoted  $G \Box H$ , is a graph with vertex set  $V(G \Box H) = V(G) \times V(H)$ , i.e., the set  $\{(g, h) | g \in G, h \in H\}$ . The edge set of  $G \Box H$  consists of all pairs  $[(g_1, h_1), (g_2, h_2)]$  of vertices with  $[g_1, g_2] \in E(G)$  and  $h_1 = h_2$ , or  $g_1 = g_2$  and  $[h_1, h_2] \in E(H)$  (see [6] page no 3).

### 2. Results

One can observe that the clique graph of a complete graph and star graph are always complete. Let G be a graph with n vertices and having a vertex of degree n - 1, then the clique graph of G is also complete.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  be two graphs and  $G = G_1 + G_2$ , then X is a clique in  $G_1$  and Y is a clique in  $G_2$  if and only if X + Y is a clique in  $G_1 + G_2$ .

**Proof.** Let  $G = G_1 + G_2$  and X be a clique in  $G_1$  and Y be a clique in  $G_2$ . Suppose that X + Y is not a maximal complete subgraph in  $G_1 + G_2$ , then there is a maximal complete subgraph (clique) Q in  $G_1 + G_2$  such that X + Y is a proper subgraph of Q. Since X + Y is a proper subgraph of Q, there is a vertex v in Q which is not in X + Y and v is adjacent to every vertex of X + Y, then by the definition of  $G_1 + G_2$ , v should be in either  $G_1$  or  $G_2$ . Suppose v is in  $G_1$ , then the induced subgraph of  $V(X) + \{v\}$  is complete in  $G_1$ , which is a contradiction as X is maximal. Therefore X + Y is the maximal complete subgraph (clique) in  $G_1 + G_2$ .

Conversely, let Q is a clique in  $G_1 + G_2$ . Suppose that  $Q \neq X + Y$  where X is a clique in  $G_1$  and Y is a clique in  $G_2$ . If  $Q \cap G_1 = \emptyset$ , then Q is a subgraph of  $G_2$ . This implies that Q is a clique in  $G_2$  as Q is a clique in G. Let v be a vertex of  $G_1$ . Then by the definition of  $G_1 + G_2$ , one can observe that the induced subgraph of  $V(Q) \cup \{v\}$  is complete in G, which is a contradiction as Q is a maximal complete subgraph. Therefore  $Q \cap G_1 \neq \emptyset$ . Similarly we can prove that  $Q \cap G_2 \neq \emptyset$ . Let X be the induced subgraph of G with vertex set  $V(Q) \cap V(G_1)$  and Y be the induced subgraph of G with vertex set  $V(Q) \cap V(G_2)$ , then Q = X + Y. Since Q is a maximal complete subgraph of G, X and Y should be maximal complete subgraphs in  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  respectively. Otherwise, if X is not a maximal complete subgraph of X' + Y and X' + Y is complete, which is a contradiction. Therefore X and Y are maximal complete subgraphs (cliques) in  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  respectively.

**Corollary 2.2.** Let  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  be two graphs and  $G = G_1 + G_2$ . If n, m are the number of cliques in  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  respectively, then G has nm cliques.

**Proof.** Let  $G = G_1 + G_2$ ,  $\mathcal{K}_{G_1} = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$  be the set of all cliques of  $G_1$  and  $\mathcal{K}_{G_2} = \{Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m\}$  be the set of all cliques of  $G_2$ . Then by Theorem 2.1 it follows that  $\mathcal{K}_G = \{X_i + Y_j : 1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le m\}$  is the set of all cliques of G. Since  $G_1$  has n,  $G_2$  has m number of cliques,  $G_1 + G_2$  has nm number of cliques.  $\Box$ 

In the following result we give a necessary and sufficient condition for a clique graph K(G) to be complete when  $G = G_1 + G_2$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  be two graphs. If  $G = G_1 + G_2$ , then K(G) is complete if and only if either  $K(G_1)$  is complete or  $K(G_2)$  is complete.

**Proof.** Let  $G = G_1 + G_2$  and K(G) be complete. Suppose that neither  $K(G_1)$  nor  $K(G_2)$  is complete, then there exist two cliques X, X' in  $G_1$  and two cliques Y, Y' in  $G_2$  such that  $X \cap X' = \emptyset$  and  $Y \cap Y' = \emptyset$ . By Theorem 2.1 it follows that X + Y, X' + Y' are cliques in G. Since  $X \cap X'$  and  $Y \cap Y'$  are empty, it follows that  $\{X + Y\} \cap \{X' + Y'\} = \emptyset$ , which is a contradiction as K(G) is complete.

Conversely, suppose that  $K(G_1)$  is complete and  $\mathcal{K}_{G_1} = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}, \mathcal{K}_{G_2} = \{Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m\}$ . By Corollary 2.2, it follows that G has exactly nm number of cliques. Let  $\mathcal{K}_G = \{Q_{ij} : Q_{ij} = X_i + Y_j \text{ for } i =$  1, 2, ..., n; j = 1, 2, ..., m} be the set of all cliques of G. Then Q is the vertex set of K(G). Arranging the elements of  $\mathcal{K}_G$  in the matrix form  $M = [m_{ij}]$  where  $m_{ij} = Q_{ij}$ , we have

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} Q_{11} & Q_{12} & Q_{13} & \dots & Q_{1m} \\ Q_{21} & Q_{22} & Q_{23} & \dots & Q_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ Q_{n1} & Q_{n2} & Q_{n3} & \dots & Q_{nm} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let  $Q_{ij}$ ,  $Q_{kl}$  be any two elements in M. Since  $Q_{ij} = X_i + Y_j$ ,  $Q_{kl} = X_k + Y_l$ , it follows that  $X_i$ ,  $X_k$  are cliques in  $G_1$ . Since  $K(G_1)$  is complete,  $X_i \cap X_k \neq \emptyset$  and then  $Q_{ij} \cap Q_{kl} \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore  $Q_{ij}$ ,  $Q_{kl}$  are adjacent in K(G). Hence K(G) is complete.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  be two graphs and  $G = G_1 + G_2$ . If  $K(G_1)$ ,  $K(G_2)$  are not complete, then for every clique in  $K(G_1)$  there is a clique in K(G) and for every clique in  $K(G_2)$  there is a clique in K(G).

**Proof.** Let  $G = G_1 + G_2$  be a graph such that  $K(G_1)$  and  $K(G_2)$  are not complete. Let  $V(K(G_1)) = \{X_i : X_i \text{ is a clique in } G_1, 1 \le i \le n\}$  and  $V(K(G_2)) = \{Y_j : Y_j \text{ is a clique in } G_2, 1 \le j \le m\}$ , then by Theorem 2.1 it follows that  $V(K(G)) = \{X_i + Y_j : 1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le m\}$ . Let Q be a clique of size l in  $K(G_1)$  and  $V(Q) = \{X_{Q_1}, X_{Q_2}, \dots, X_{Q_l}\}$  where  $X_{Q_i}$  is a clique in  $G_1$  for  $1 \le i \le l$ . Let  $A_Q = \{X_{Q_i} + Y_j : 1 \le i \le l, 1 \le j \le m\}$ . Then clearly  $A_Q$  is subset of V(K(G)).

Let  $X_{Q_1} + Y_1$ ,  $X_{Q_2} + Y_2$  be two elements in  $A_Q$ . Since  $X_{Q_1}$ ,  $X_{Q_2}$  are the vertices of the clique Q of  $K(G_1)$ , we have  $X_{Q_1} \cap X_{Q_2} \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore  $\{X_{Q_1} + Y_1\} \cap \{X_{Q_2} + Y_2\} \neq \emptyset$ . Hence the intersection of any two elements in  $A_Q$  is nonempty. Then, it follows that the elements of  $A_Q$  form a complete subgraph in K(G). Suppose that it is not a maximal complete subgraph in K(G). Then there is a vertex, say  $X_1 + Y_1$  in K(G) which is not in  $A_Q$  and  $X_1 + Y_1$  is adjacent with every vertex of  $A_Q$ . Since  $K(G_2)$  is not complete there exists a vertex say  $Y_2$  in  $K(G_2)$  such that  $Y_2$  is not adjacent to  $Y_1$  in  $K(G_2)$ . Since Q is a clique in  $K(G_1)$  and  $K(G_1)$  is not complete, there is a vertex say  $X_{Q_1}$  in V(Q) which is not adjacent to  $X_1$  in  $K(G_1)$ . By the definition of  $A_Q$  one can see that  $X_{Q_1} + Y_2$  is an element of  $A_Q$ . Therefore  $\{X_{Q_1} + Y_2\} \cap \{X_1 + Y_1\} = \emptyset$ , which is a contradiction. Thus  $A_Q$  is a maximal complete subgraph in K(G). Hence for every clique in  $K(G_1)$  there is a clique in K(G).

On similar lines we can also prove that for every clique in  $K(G_2)$ , there is a clique in K(G).  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.5.** Let  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  be two graphs and  $G = G_1 + G_2$ . If  $K(G_1)$ ,  $K(G_2)$  are not complete, then the number of cliques in K(G) is at least the sum of the number of cliques in  $K(G_1)$  and  $K(G_2)$ .

**Theorem 2.6.** Let  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  be two graphs and  $G = G_1 + G_2$ . If  $K(G_1)$ ,  $K(G_2)$  are not complete, then  $K^2(G_1) + K^2(G_2)$  is an induced subgraph of  $K^2(G)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $G = G_1 + G_2$  be a graph such that  $K(G_1)$  and  $K(G_2)$  are not complete. Let  $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_n$  be the cliques of  $K(G_1)$ , and  $Y_1, Y_2, \ldots, Y_m$  be the cliques of  $K(G_2)$ . By Lemma 2.4 it follows that for every clique  $X_i$  of  $K(G_1)$  there is a clique  $X'_i$  in K(G),  $1 \le i \le n$  and for every clique  $Y_j$  of  $K(G_2)$  there is a clique  $Y'_j$  in K(G),  $1 \le j \le m$ .

Claim 1:  $X_i \cap X_j \neq \emptyset$  in  $K(G_1)$  if and only if  $X'_i \cap X'_j \neq \emptyset$  in K(G) for  $i \neq j$ .

Let  $X_i$ ,  $X_j$  be two cliques in  $K(G_1)$  and  $X_i \cap X_j \neq \emptyset$ . Let v be a vertex in  $X_i \cap X_j$ . By Lemma 2.4 it follows that if v is a vertex in the clique  $X_i$  in  $K(G_1)$ , then for any vertex u in  $K(G_2)$ , v + u is a vertex in the clique  $X'_i$  in K(G)corresponding to the clique  $X_i$  in  $K(G_1)$ . Therefore v + u is a vertex in  $X'_i \cap X'_j$ .

Conversely, suppose that  $X'_i, X'_j$  be two cliques in K(G) and  $X'_i \cap X'_j \neq \emptyset$ . Let w be a vertex in  $X'_i \cap X'_j$ . By Theorem 2.1 it follows that w = v + u, where v is a vertex of  $K(G_1)$  and u is a vertex of  $K(G_2)$ . Since w = v + uis a vertex of the clique  $X'_i$  in K(G), it follows that v is a vertex of the clique  $X_i$  in  $K(G_1)$ . Similarly v is a vertex of the clique  $X_i$  in  $K(G_1)$ . Therefore v is in  $X_i \cap X_j$ .

Similarly we can prove that,  $Y_i \cap Y_j \neq \emptyset$  in  $K(G_2)$  if and only if  $Y'_i \cap Y'_j \neq \emptyset$  in K(G) for  $i \neq j$ .

Claim 2:  $X'_i \cap Y'_j \neq \emptyset$  in K(G) for  $1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le m$ .

Let  $X'_i, Y'_j$  be two cliques in  $K(G), 1 \le i \le n, 1 \le j \le m$  and  $X_i, Y_j$  are the cliques in  $K(G_1), K(G_2)$ corresponding to the maximal cliques  $X'_i, Y'_j$  in K(G) respectively. Let v be a vertex in  $X_i$  and u be a vertex in  $Y_j$ , then by Lemma 2.4 v + u be the vertex in  $X'_i$  as well as in  $Y'_i$ . Therefore  $X'_i \cap Y'_i \ne \emptyset$ .

By claims 1 and 2 it follows that  $K^2(G_1) + K^2(G_2)$  is an induced subgraph of  $K^2(G)$ .

Note: Let  $G_1, G_2$  be two graphs and  $G = G_1 + G_2$ . If G is K-divergent, then  $G_1, G_2$  don't need to be K-divergent.

**Example 2.7.** If *H* is a graph consisting of just two nonadjacent vertices and we define for every n > 1 the graph  $J_n = \underbrace{(((H + H) + H) + \cdots) + H}_{n \text{ times}}$ , it turns out that  $K(J_n) = J_{2^{n-1}}$ . Suppose  $G_1 = J_2 = C_4$ ,  $G_2 = H$  then

 $G_1 + G_2 = J_3$  and  $K(G_1 + G_2) = J_4$ . Therefore  $K^2(G_1 + G_2) = J_8$ . Which implies that  $G_1 + G_2$  is K-divergent. But  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are not K-divergent.

#### 2.1. Observations

Let  $G = G_1 + G_2$  be a graph and  $\mathcal{K}_{G_1} = \{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n\}$  be the set of all cliques of  $G_1$  and  $\mathcal{K}_{G_2} = \{Y_1, Y_2, \dots, Y_m\}$  be the set of all cliques of  $G_2$ . By Theorem 2.1, it follows that  $\mathcal{K}_G = \{Q_{ij} = X_i + Y_j : 1 \le i \le n; 1 \le j \le m\}$  is the set of all cliques of G. Let  $v_{ij}$  be the vertex of K(G) corresponding to the clique  $Q_{ij}$  of G. Arrange the vertices of K(G) as a matrix  $M = [m_{ij}]$ , where  $m_{ij} = v_{ij}$ , i.e.,

$$M = \begin{pmatrix} v_{11} & v_{12} & v_{13} & \dots & v_{1m} \\ v_{21} & v_{22} & v_{23} & \dots & v_{2m} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ v_{n1} & v_{n2} & v_{n3} & \dots & v_{nm} \end{pmatrix}.$$

From the above matrix one can observe that the *i*th row corresponds to the clique  $X_i$  of  $G_1$  and *j*th column corresponds to the clique  $Y_j$  of  $G_2$ ,  $1 \le i \le n$ ,  $1 \le j \le m$ .

Claim 1: Any two elements in the same row or same column in M are adjacent in K(G).

Let  $Q_{ij}$ ,  $Q_{ik}$  be any two elements in the *i*th row. Since  $Q_{ij} = X_i + Y_j$ ,  $Q_{ik} = X_i + Y_k$ ,  $Q_{ij} \cap Q_{ik} = X_i \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore  $Q_{ij}$ ,  $Q_{ik}$  are adjacent in K(G). Similarly any two elements in the same column are adjacent.

Claim 2: If  $X_i \cap X_j \neq \emptyset$ , then every vertex of *i*th row is adjacent to every vertex of *j*th row,  $1 \le i \ne j \le n$ .

Let  $X_i \cap X_j \neq \emptyset$  and  $v_{ik}$ ,  $v_{jl}$  be any two elements of *i*th and *j*th rows respectively in *M*. Since  $Q_{ik} = X_i + Y_k$ ,  $Q_{jl} = X_j + Y_l$  are the cliques of *G* corresponding to the vertices  $v_{ik}$ ,  $v_{jl}$  of K(G) and  $X_i \cap X_j \neq \emptyset$ , we have  $Q_{ik} \cap Q_{jl} \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore  $v_{ik}$ ,  $v_{jl}$  are adjacent in K(G).

Similarly if  $Y_i \cap Y_j \neq \emptyset$ , then every vertex of *i*th column is adjacent to every vertex of *j*th column,  $1 \le i \ne j \le m$ . One can see that the following observations will follow from Claim 1 and Claim 2.

- 1. If  $G = G_1 + G_2$ , then K(G) is Hamiltonian.
- 2. If  $G = G_1 + G_2$ , then K(G) is planar if it satisfies one of the following:

(i) The number of cliques in  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  is less than 3.

(ii) If the number of cliques in  $G_1$  is 3, then either  $G_2$  is a complete graph or  $G_2$  has exactly two cliques and  $K(G_1) = \overline{K_3}, K(G_2) = \overline{K_2}$ .

(iii) If the number of cliques in  $G_1$  is 4, then  $G_2$  is a complete graph.

3. If  $G = G_1 + G_2$  and n, m are the number of cliques in  $G_1, G_2$ , then the degree of any vertex in K(G) is  $(n+m-2)+k(n-1)+l(m-1)-kl, 0 \le k < m$  and  $0 \le l < n$ .

4. Let  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  be two graphs and  $G = G_1 + G_2$ ,

(i) If both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  have odd number of cliques, then K(G) is Eulerian if one of  $K(G_1)$  or  $K(G_2)$  is Eulerian.

(ii) If both  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  have even number of cliques, then K(G) is Eulerian if  $K(G_1)$ ,  $K(G_2)$  are Eulerian.

(iii) If  $G_1$  has even number of cliques and  $G_2$  has odd number of cliques, then K(G) is Eulerian if degree of each vertex in  $K(G_2)$  is odd and  $K(G_1)$  is Eulerian.

### 3. Cartesian product of graphs

In this section we are considering  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  be connected graphs only.

**Theorem 3.1.** If  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  are Clique-Helly graphs different from  $K_1$  and  $G = G_1 \square G_2$ , then  $K^2(G) = G$ .

**Proof.** Let  $G_1, G_2$  be Clique-Helly graphs different from  $K_1$  and  $G = G_1 \Box G_2$ . Let  $V(G_1) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{n_1}\}$  and  $V(G_2) = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{n_2}\}$ , then by the definition of  $G_1 \Box G_2$ , it follows that  $V(G) = \{V_{ij} : V_{ij} = (v_i, u_j)\}$  where  $1 \le i \le n_1, 1 \le j \le n_2\}$ ,  $|V(G)| = n_1 n_2$ . Also, G has  $n_2$  copies of  $G_1$  (say,  $G_1^1, G_1^2, \ldots, G_1^{n_2}$ ) which are vertex

disjoint induced subgraphs and  $n_1$  copies of  $G_2$  (say,  $G_2^1, G_2^2, ..., G_2^{n_1}$ ) which are vertex disjoint induced subgraphs. Clearly one can observe that  $V(G_2^i) \cap V(G_1^j) = V_{ij}, V_{ij}$  is not in  $V(G_2^n)$  and  $V(G_1^m)$  for  $n \neq i, m \neq j$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq n_1, 1 \leq j \leq n_2$ . As  $G = G_1 \square G_2$ , we can see that every clique in  $G_1$  and  $G_2$  are cliques in G. Let  $\mathcal{K}_{G_1} = \{Q_1, Q_2, ..., Q_{l_1}\}$  and  $\mathcal{K}_{G_2} = \{P_1, P_2, ..., P_{l_2}\}$ , then  $\mathcal{K}_G = \{Q_1^1, Q_2^1, ..., Q_{l_1}^1, Q_1^2, Q_2^2, ..., Q_{l_1}^2, ..., Q_1^{n_2}, Q_2^{n_2}, ..., Q_{l_1}^{n_2}, P_1^1, P_2^1, ..., P_{l_2}^1, P_1^2, P_2^2, ..., P_{l_2}^1, ..., P_1^{n_1}, P_2^{n_1}, ..., P_{l_2}^{n_1}\}.$ 

Claim 1: For every vertex  $V_{ij}$  in G there is a clique in K(G).

Let  $V_{ij}$  be a vertex in G for some  $i, j, 1 \le i \le n_1, 1 \le j \le n_2$ . Define  $A_{ij} = \{Q : V_{ij} \in Q\} \subseteq \mathcal{K}_G$ . Clearly intersection of any two cliques in  $A_{ij}$  is non empty. Therefore the vertices corresponding to these cliques in K(G)form a complete subgraph in K(G). Suppose it is not a maximal complete subgraph in K(G), then there exists a vertex V in K(G) such that V is adjacent to all the vertices of  $A_{ij}$ . Let  $Q_V$  be the clique in G corresponding to the vertex Vin K(G). Clearly  $V_{ij}$  is not in  $Q_V$ . Since every clique in G is either a clique in  $G_1$  or a clique in  $G_2$ , assume that  $Q_V$ is a clique in  $G_1^j$ . Let Q be a clique in  $G_2^i$  having the vertex  $V_{ij}$ , then Q is in  $A_{ij}$ . Since  $V(G_2^j) \cap V(G_1^j) = V_{ij}$ , Q is a clique in  $G_2^i$  and  $V_{ij} \in V(Q)$  and  $V(Q) \cap V(G_1^j) = V_{ij}$ . Which implies that  $V(Q) \cap (V(G_1^j) \setminus \{V_{ij}\}) = \emptyset$ . Since  $V_{ij}$  is not in  $Q_V$  and  $Q_V$  is a clique in  $G_1^j, V(Q_V) \subseteq (V(G_1^j) \setminus V_{ij})$ . Therefore  $V(Q) \cap V(Q_V) = \emptyset$ , a contradiction to the fact that  $Q_V$  is adjacent to all the vertices of  $A_{ij}$  in K(G). Hence the elements of  $A_{ij}$  form a clique in K(G).

Claim 2: For any clique Q in K(G), intersection of all the cliques of G corresponding to the vertices of Q is non empty and a singleton.

Let Q be a clique in K(G) and  $V(Q) = \{x_1, x_2, ..., x_n\}$ . Suppose all  $x_k$ 's are cliques in  $G_1^j$  for some j,  $1 \le j \le n_2$ , then the intersection of all  $x_k$ 's is non empty in G, where  $x_k \in V(Q)$ , as  $G_1^j$  satisfies Clique-Helly property. Let  $V \in \bigcap_{x_k \in Q} x_k$ , then V is in  $G_2^i$  for some  $i, 1 \le i \le n_1$ . Let P be any clique in  $G_2^i$  having a vertex V, then P intersects with every element of V(Q). Therefore  $V(Q) \cup \{P\}$  forms a complete graph in K(G), a contradiction to the assumption that Q is maximal complete subgraph. Thus the elements of Q are the cliques of  $G_1$  and cliques of  $G_2$ . Since  $G_1^j$ 's are vertex disjoint and  $G_2^i$ 's are vertex disjoint, any element of Q is either a clique of  $G_1^j$  or a clique of  $G_2^i$  for fixed  $i, j, 1 \le i \le n_1, 1 \le j \le n_2$ . Let  $x_1, x_2, \ldots, x_l$  be the cliques of  $G_1^j$  and  $x_{l+1}, x_{l+2}, \ldots, x_n$  be the cliques of  $G_2^i$ . Since  $V(G_1^j) \cap V(G_2^i) = V_{ij}, x_{l_1}$  is a clique of  $G_1^j, x_{l_2}$  is a clique of  $G_2^i$  and  $V(x_{l_1}) \cap V(x_{l_2}) \ne \emptyset$ ,  $1 \le l_1 \le l, l+1 \le l_2 \le n, V(x_{l_1}) \cap V(x_{l_2}) = V_{ij}$ . Which implies that  $V_{ij}$  belongs to every  $x_k$  in Q. Therefore  $\bigcap_{x_k \in Q} x_k = V_{ij}$ .

As the cliques of K(G) are the vertices of  $K^2(G)$ , by Claims 1 and 2 one can see that there is a one to one correspondence between the vertices of G and  $K^2(G)$ .

Claim 3: Let U, V be any two adjacent vertices in G. Then the intersection of the cliques in K(G) corresponding to these vertices is non empty.

Let U, V be any two adjacent vertices in G and  $Q_U, Q_V$  be the cliques in K(G) corresponding to the vertices U, V in G respectively. Since there is an edge between U, V in G, there exists a clique Q in G such that the vertices U, V are in Q. By Claims 1 and 2 it follows that the vertices of  $Q_U$  in K(G) are the cliques of G having the vertex U in G, it is in common. Therefore Q is in  $V(Q_U)$ . Similarly Q is in  $V(Q_V)$ . Which implies that  $Q_U \cap Q_V \neq \emptyset$ . Since cliques of K(G) are the vertices of  $K^2(G)$ , the vertices corresponding to the cliques  $Q_U$  and  $Q_V$  of K(G) are adjacent in  $K^2(G)$ .

Claim 4: Let P, Q be any two cliques in K(G). If the intersection of P and Q is non empty, then the vertices in G corresponding to these two cliques are adjacent.

Let P, Q be any two cliques in K(G),  $P \cap Q \neq \emptyset$  and U, V be the vertices in G corresponding to the cliques P, Q of K(G) respectively. Since  $P \cap Q \neq \emptyset$ , there exists a vertex  $Q_1$  belonging to  $V(P) \cap V(Q)$ . By Claims 1 and 2, one can observe that  $Q_1$  is a clique in G and  $\bigcap_{P_i \in V(P)} P_i = U$ ,  $\bigcap_{Q_i \in V(Q)} Q_i = V$ . Thus U, V belongs to  $V(Q_1)$  in G. Therefore U, V are adjacent in G.

By Claims 3 and 4 it follows that, two vertices are adjacent in G if and only if the corresponding vertices are adjacent  $K^2(G)$ .

Therefore  $K^2(G)$  is the same as G, if  $G = G_1 \square G_2$  and  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  are Clique-Helly graphs such that  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  are different from  $K_1$ .  $\square$ 

**Corollary 3.2.** Let  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  be two graphs and  $G = G_1 \square G_2$ . If  $G_1$ ,  $G_2$  are Clique-Helly graphs different from  $K_1$ , then

**i** G is a Clique-Helly graph.

ii G is K-periodic.

iii G is K-convergent.

## References

- [1] Ronald C. Hamelink, A partial characterization of clique graphs, J. Combin. Theory 5 (1968) 192–197.
- [2] S.T. Hedetniemi, P.J. Slater, Line graphs of triangleless graphs and iterated clique graphs, in: Graph Theory and Applications, Springer, 1972, pp. 139–147.
- [3] Erich Prisner, Graph Dynamics, Vol. 338, CRC Press, 1995.
- [4] Jayme L. Szwarcfiter, A survey on clique graphs, in: Recent Advances in Algorithms and Combinatorics, Springer, 2003, pp. 109–136.
- [5] Victor Neumann-Lara, On clique-divergent graphs, Problems Combinatoires et Théorie des Graphes, Colloques internationaux du CNRS, Paris 260 (1978) 313–315.
- [6] Wilfried Imrich, Sandi Klavzar, Douglas F. Rall, Topics in Graph Theory: Graphs and their Cartesian Product, AK Peters Ltd., 2008.